

Dear

.....

Editorial board of the Journal Informacije MIDEM chose you to act as a reviewer of the sent article. Based on your judgement the board will decide whether to publish or refuse the contribution. Please, return the form giving also written opinion about the contribution.

We are expecting your answer within 14 days.

*Uredništvo revije " Informacije MIDEM " Vas je izbralo za recenzenta priloženega prispevka. Na osnovi Vašega mnenja se bo uredništvo odločilo za objavo ali zavrnitev prispevka. Prosim, poleg obrazca podajte tudi pismeno mnenje o prispevku.
 PROSIM ZA STROKOVNO RECENZIJO ČLANKA V ROKU 14 DNI PO PREJETJU.*

Author :	Title :
Md. Mahmudur Rahman, Md. Fokhrul Islam	Design and Analysis of a Compact Wideband Microstrip Patch Antenna for Ku Band Applications

Ljubljana :

Editor-in-chief
 Marko Topič:

REVIEW POINTS
(MERILA ZA RECENZIJO)

	YES	NO	Partially
Is the contribution content appropriate for publishing? <i>Ali je prispevek dovolj tehten in vsebinsko primeren za objavo ?</i>		X	
Is the content on the appropriate scientific level? <i>Ali je vsebina na ustrezni znanstveno strokovni ravni ?</i>		X	
Has the contribution been published in the same or similar form before ? where? <i>Ali je bil material že objavljen v takšni ali podobni obliki ? Kje ?</i>			X
Is the contribution prepared according to instructions for authors? <i>Ali je prispevek napisan in opremljen v skladu z navodili za avtorje ?</i>			X
Is data reliable and documents the findings appropriately ? <i>Ali so podatki zanesljivi in zadostno dokumentirajo ugotovitve ?</i>		X	

REVIEWER'S EXPLANATION :

OBRAZLOŽITEV RECENZENTA : Fig.1 does not make sense.
Formulas for quasi-TEM lines do not make sense at a substrate thickness of 0.1 lambda.
The whole antenna is much larger than the patch itself.
The polarization of the antenna is not specified.
The radiation efficiency of the antenna is not specified.
There is no coordinate system related to the radiation patterns.
The radiation patterns suggest an infinite groundplane.
The antenna was not manufactured nor measured.

SUGGESTIONS TO THE EDITORIAL BOARD:

PREDLOGI UREDNIŠTVU :

- ◇ The contribution can be accepted as original scientific work (prispevek lahko sprejmete kot izvirno znanstveno delo)
- ◇ The contribution can be accepted as professional work (prispevek lahko sprejmete kot strokovno delo)
- ◇ The contribution can be accepted as an overview work (prispevek lahko sprejmete kot pregledno delo)
- ◇ The contribution can be accepted after corrections (prispevek lahko sprejmete po popravkih)
- ◇ the contribution is to be rejected (prispevek zavrnite)

Reviewer (<i>Recenzijo opravil</i>)	Date (<i>datum</i>) :
Matjaž Vidmar	29.08.2016