

Orthogonal coordinates

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In mathematics, **orthogonal coordinates** are defined as a set of d coordinates $\mathbf{q} = (q^1, q^2, \dots, q^d)$ in which the coordinate surfaces all meet at right angles (note: superscripts are indices, not exponents). A coordinate surface for a particular coordinate q^k is the curve, surface, or hypersurface on which q_k is a constant. For example, the three-dimensional Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) is an orthogonal coordinate system, since its coordinate surfaces $x = \text{constant}$, $y = \text{constant}$, and $z = \text{constant}$ are planes that meet at right angles to one another, i.e., are perpendicular. Orthogonal coordinates are a special but extremely common case of curvilinear coordinates.

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Basis vectors
 - 2.1 Covariant basis
 - 2.2 Contravariant basis
- 3 Vector algebra
 - 3.1 Dot product
 - 3.2 Cross product
- 4 Vector calculus
 - 4.1 Differentiation
 - 4.2 Basis vector formulae
 - 4.3 Integration
- 5 Differential operators in three dimensions
- 6 Table of orthogonal coordinates
- 7 See also
- 8 Notes
- 9 References


Motivation

While vector operations and physical laws are normally easiest to derive in Cartesian coordinates, non-Cartesian orthogonal coordinates are often used instead for the solution of various problems, especially boundary value problems, such as those arising in field theories of quantum mechanics, fluid flow, electrodynamics and the diffusion of chemical species or heat.

The chief advantage of non-Cartesian coordinates is that they can be chosen to match the symmetry of the problem. For example, the pressure wave due to an explosion far from the ground (or other barriers) depends on 3D space in Cartesian coordinates, however the pressure predominantly moves away from the center, so that in spherical coordinates the problem becomes very nearly one-dimensional (since the pressure wave dominantly depends only on time and the distance from the center). Another example is (slow) fluid in a straight circular pipe: in Cartesian coordinates, one has to solve a (difficult) two dimensional boundary value problem involving a partial differential equation, but in cylindrical coordinates the problem becomes one-dimensional with an ordinary differential equation instead of a partial differential equation.

The reason to prefer orthogonal coordinates instead of general curvilinear coordinates is simplicity: many complications arise when coordinates are not orthogonal. For example, in orthogonal coordinates many problems may be solved by separation of variables. Separation of variables is a mathematical technique that converts a complex d -dimensional problem into d one-dimensional problems that can be solved in terms of known functions. Many equations can be reduced to Laplace's equation or the Helmholtz equation. Laplace's equation is separable in 13 orthogonal coordinate systems, and the Helmholtz equation is separable in 11 orthogonal coordinate systems. [1][2]

Orthogonal coordinates never have off-diagonal terms in their metric tensor. In other words, the infinitesimal squared distance ds^2 can always be written as a scaled sum of the squared infinitesimal coordinate displacements



$$ds^2 = \sum_{k=1}^d (h_k dq^k)^2$$

where d is the dimension and the scaling functions (or scale factors)

$$h_k(\mathbf{q}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{g_{kk}(\mathbf{q})} = |\mathbf{e}_k|$$

equal the square roots of the diagonal components of the metric tensor, or the lengths of the local basis vectors \mathbf{e}_k described below. These scaling functions h_i are used to calculate differential operators in the new coordinates, e.g., the gradient, the Laplacian, the divergence and the curl.

A simple method for generating orthogonal coordinates systems in two dimensions is by a conformal mapping of a standard two-dimensional grid of Cartesian coordinates (x, y) . A complex number $z = x + iy$ can be formed from the real coordinates x and y , where i represents the square root of -1 . Any holomorphic function $w = f(z)$ with non-zero complex derivative will produce a conformal mapping; if the resulting complex number is written $w = u + iv$, then the curves of constant u and v intersect at right angles, just as the original lines of constant x and y did.

Orthogonal coordinates in three and higher dimensions can be generated from an orthogonal two-dimensional coordinate system, either by projecting it into a new dimension (*cylindrical coordinates*) or by rotating the two-dimensional system about one of its symmetry axes. However, there are other orthogonal coordinate systems in three dimensions that cannot be obtained by projecting or rotating a two-dimensional system, such as the ellipsoidal coordinates. More general orthogonal coordinates may be obtained by starting with some necessary coordinate surfaces and considering their orthogonal trajectories.

Basis vectors

Covariant basis

In Cartesian coordinates, the basis vectors are fixed (constant). In the more general setting of curvilinear coordinates, a point in space is specified by the coordinates, and at every such point there is bound a set of basis vectors, which generally are not constant: this is the essence of curvilinear coordinates in general and is a very important concept. What distinguishes orthogonal coordinates is that, though the basis vectors vary, they are always orthogonal with respect to each other. In other words,

$$\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j$$

These basis vectors are by definition the tangent vectors of the curves obtained by varying one coordinate, keeping the others fixed:

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q^i}$$

where \mathbf{r} is some point and q^i is the coordinate for which the basis vector is extracted. In other words, a curve is obtained by fixing all but one coordinate; the unfixed coordinate is varied as in a parametric curve, and the derivative of the curve with respect to the parameter (the varying coordinate) is the basis vector for that coordinate.

Note that the vectors are not necessarily of equal length. The useful functions known as scale factors of the coordinates are simply the lengths h_i of the basis vectors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$ (see table below). The scale factors are sometimes called Lamé coefficients, but this terminology is best avoided since some more well known coefficients in linear elasticity carry the same name.

The normalized basis vectors are notated with a hat and obtained by dividing by the length:


$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i = \frac{\mathbf{e}_i}{h_i} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_i}{|\mathbf{e}_i|}$$

A vector field may be specified by its components with respect to the basis vectors or the normalized basis vectors, and one must be sure which case is meant. Components in the normalized basis are most common in applications for clarity of the quantities (for example, one may want to deal with tangential velocity instead of tangential velocity times a scale factor); in derivations the normalized basis is less common since it is more complicated.

Contravariant basis

The basis vectors shown above are covariant basis vectors (because they "co-vary" with vectors). In the case of orthogonal coordinates, the contravariant basis vectors are easy to find since they will be in the same direction as the covariant vectors but reciprocal length (for this reason, the two sets of basis vectors are said to be reciprocal with respect to each other):

$$\mathbf{e}^i = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i}{h_i} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_i}{h_i^2}$$



Visualization of 2D orthogonal coordinates. Curves obtained by holding all but one coordinate constant are shown, along with basis vectors. Note that the basis vectors aren't of equal length: they need not be, they only need to be orthogonal.

this follows from the fact that, by definition, $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}^j = \delta_i^j$, using the Kronecker delta. Note that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i = \frac{\mathbf{e}_i}{h_i} = h_i \mathbf{e}^i = \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i$$

We now face three different basis sets commonly used to describe vectors in orthogonal coordinates: the covariant basis \mathbf{e}_i , the contravariant basis \mathbf{e}^i , and the normalized basis $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i$. While a vector is an *objective quantity*, meaning its identity is independent of any coordinate system, the components of a vector depend on what basis the vector is represented in.

To avoid confusion, the components of the vector \mathbf{x} with respect to the \mathbf{e}_i basis are represented as \mathbf{x}^i , while the components with respect to the \mathbf{e}^i basis are represented as x_i :

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_i x^i \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_i x_i \mathbf{e}^i$$

The position of the indices represent how the components are calculated (upper indices should not be confused with exponentiation). Note that the summation symbols Σ (capital Sigma) and the summation range, indicating summation over all basis vectors ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$), are often omitted. The components are related simply by:

$$h_i^2 x^i = x_i$$

There is no distinguishing widespread notation in use for vector components with respect to the normalized basis; in this article we'll use subscripts for vector components and note that the components are calculated in the normalized basis.

Vector algebra

Vector addition and negation are done component-wise just as in Cartesian coordinates with no complication. Extra considerations may be necessary for other vector operations.

Note however, that all of these operations assume that two vectors in a vector field are bound to the same point (in other words, the tails of vectors coincide). Since basis vectors generally vary in orthogonal coordinates, if two vectors are added whose components are calculated at different points in space, the different basis vectors require consideration.

Dot product

The dot product in Cartesian coordinates (Euclidean space with an orthonormal basis set) is simply the sum of the products of components. In orthogonal coordinates, the dot product of two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} takes this familiar form when the components of the vectors are calculated in the normalized basis:

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot \sum_j y_j \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j = \sum_i x_i y_i$$

This is an immediate consequence of the fact that the normalized basis at some point can form a Cartesian coordinate system: the basis set is orthonormal.

For components in the covariant or contravariant bases,

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_i h_i^2 x^i y^i = \sum_i \frac{x_i y_i}{h_i^2} = \sum_i x^i y_i = \sum_i x_i y^i$$

This can be readily derived by writing out the vectors in component form, normalizing the basis vectors, and taking the dot product. For example, in 2D:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} &= (x^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x^2 \mathbf{e}_2) \cdot (y_1 \mathbf{e}^1 + y_2 \mathbf{e}^2) \\ &= (x^1 h_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + x^2 h_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2) \cdot \left(y_1 \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}^1}{h_1} + y_2 \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}^2}{h_2} \right) = x^1 y_1 + x^2 y_2 \end{aligned}$$

where the fact that the normalized covariant and contravariant bases are equal has been used.

Cross product

The cross product in 3D Cartesian coordinates is:

$$\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} = (x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + (x_3 y_1 - x_1 y_3) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$$

The above formula then remains valid in orthogonal coordinates if the components are calculated in the normalized basis.

To construct the cross product in orthogonal coordinates with covariant or contravariant bases we again must simply normalize the basis vectors, for example:

$$\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x^i \mathbf{e}_i \times \sum_j y^j \mathbf{e}_j = \sum_i x^i h_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \times \sum_j y^j h_j \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j$$

which, written expanded out,

$$\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} = (x^2 y^3 - x^3 y^2) \frac{h_2 h_3}{h_1} \mathbf{e}_1 + (x^3 y^1 - x^1 y^3) \frac{h_1 h_3}{h_2} \mathbf{e}_2 + (x^1 y^2 - x^2 y^1) \frac{h_1 h_2}{h_3} \mathbf{e}_3$$

Terse notation for the cross product, which simplifies generalization to non-orthogonal coordinates and higher dimensions, is possible with the Levi-Civita tensor, which will have components other than zeros and ones if the scale factors are not all equal to one.

Vector calculus

Differentiation

Looking at an infinitesimal displacement from some point, it's apparent that

$$d\mathbf{r} = \sum_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q^i} dq^i = \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i dq^i$$

By definition, the gradient of a function must satisfy (this definition remains true if f is any tensor)

$$df = \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r} \Rightarrow df = \nabla f \cdot \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i dq^i$$

It follows then that del operator must be:

$$\nabla = \sum_i \mathbf{e}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$$

and this happens to remain true in general curvilinear coordinates. Quantities like the gradient and Laplacian follow through proper application of this operator.

Basis vector formulae

From $d\mathbf{r}$ and normalized basis vectors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$, the following can be constructed.^{[3][4]}

Differential element	Vectors	Scalars
Line element	Tangent vector to coordinate curve q_i : $d\ell = h_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_i}$	Infinitesimal length $d\ell = \sqrt{d\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{r}} = \sqrt{h_1^2 dq_1^2 + h_2^2 dq_2^2 + h_3^2 dq_3^2}$
Surface element	Normal to coordinate surface $q_k = \text{constant}$: $d\mathbf{S} = (h_i q_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i) \times (h_j q_j \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j)$ $= h_i h_j q_i q_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_i} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_j} \right)$ $= h_i h_j q_i q_j \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k$	Infinitesimal surface $dS_k = h_i h_j dq^i dq^j$
Volume element	N/A	Infinitesimal volume $dV = (h_1 dq_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1) \cdot (h_2 dq_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2) \times (h_3 dq_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3) $ $= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 h_1 h_2 h_3 dq_1 dq_2 dq_3$ $= J dq_1 dq_2 dq_3$ $= h_1 h_2 h_3 dq_1 dq_2 dq_3$

where

$$J = \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_1} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_2} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_3} \right) \right| = \left| \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(q_1, q_2, q_3)} \right| = h_1 h_2 h_3$$

is the Jacobian determinant, which has the geometric interpretation of the deformation in volume from the infinitesimal cube $dx dy dz$ to the infinitesimal curved volume in the orthogonal coordinates.

Integration

Using the line element shown above, the line integral along a path \mathcal{P} of a vector \mathbf{F} is:

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_i F_i \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \sum_j \mathbf{e}_j dq^j = \sum_i \int_{\mathcal{P}} F_i dq^i$$

An infinitesimal element of area for a surface described by holding one coordinate q_k constant is:

$$dA = \prod_{i \neq k} ds_i = \prod_{i \neq k} h_i dq^i$$

Similarly, the volume element is:

$$dV = \prod_i ds_i = \prod_i h_i dq^i$$

where the large symbol Π (capital Pi) indicates a product the same way that a large Σ indicates summation. Note that the product of all the scale factors is the Jacobian determinant.

As an example, the surface integral of a vector function \mathbf{F} over a $q^1 = \text{constant}$ surface S in 3D is:

$$\int_S \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \int_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA = \int_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 dA = \int_S F^1 \frac{h_2 h_3}{h_1} dq^2 dq^3$$

Note that F^1/h_1 is the component of \mathbf{F} normal to the surface.

Differential operators in three dimensions

Since these operations are common in application, all vector components in this section are presented with respect to the normalised basis:

$$F_i = \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i.$$

Operator	Expression
Gradient of a scalar field	$\nabla \phi = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1}{h_1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^1} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2}{h_2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3}{h_3} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^3}$
Divergence of a vector field	$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} (F_1 h_2 h_3) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} (F_2 h_3 h_1) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3} (F_3 h_1 h_2) \right]$
Curl of a vector field	$\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1}{h_2 h_3} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} (h_3 F_3) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3} (h_2 F_2) \right] + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2}{h_3 h_1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q^3} (h_1 F_1) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} (h_3 F_3) \right]$ $+ \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3}{h_1 h_2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} (h_2 F_2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} (h_1 F_1) \right] = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \begin{vmatrix} h_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 & h_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 & h_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3} \\ h_1 F_1 & h_2 F_2 & h_3 F_3 \end{vmatrix}$
Laplacian of a scalar field	$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} \left(\frac{h_2 h_3}{h_1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} \left(\frac{h_3 h_1}{h_2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3} \left(\frac{h_1 h_2}{h_3} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^3} \right) \right]$

The above expressions can be written in a more compact form using the Levi-Civita symbol, defining $H = h_1 h_2 h_3$, and assuming summation over repeated indices:

Operator	Expression
Gradient of a scalar field	$(\nabla\phi)_k = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k}{h_k} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial q^k}$
Divergence of a vector field	$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} \left(\frac{H}{h_k} F_k \right)$
Curl of a vector field	$(\nabla \times \mathbf{F})_k = \frac{h_k \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k}{H} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} (h_j F_j)$
Laplacian of a scalar field	$\nabla^2\phi = \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} \left(\frac{H}{h_k^2} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial q^k} \right)$

Table of orthogonal coordinates

Besides the usual cartesian coordinates, several others are tabulated below.^[5] Interval notation is used for compactness in the coordinates column.

Curvilinear coordinates (q_1, q_2, q_3)	Transformation from cartesian (x, y, z)	Scale factors
Spherical polar coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi)$	$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$ $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$ $z = r \cos \theta$	$h_1 = 1$ $h_2 = r$ $h_3 = r \sin \theta$
Cylindrical polar coordinates $(r, \phi, z) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi) \times (-\infty, \infty)$	$x = r \cos \phi$ $y = r \sin \phi$ $z = z$	$h_1 = h_3 = 1$ $h_2 = r$
Parabolic cylindrical coordinates $(u, v, z) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty)$	$x = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - v^2)$ $y = uv$ $z = z$	$h_1 = h_2 = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $h_3 = 1$
Paraboloidal coordinates $(u, v, \phi) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$	$x = uv \cos \phi$ $y = uv \sin \phi$ $z = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - v^2)$	$h_1 = h_2 = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $h_3 = uv$
Elliptic cylindrical coordinates $(u, v, z) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi) \times (-\infty, \infty)$	$x = a \cosh u \cos v$ $y = a \sinh u \sin v$ $z = z$	$h_1 = h_2 = a \sqrt{\sinh^2 u + \sin^2 v}$ $h_3 = 1$
Prolate spheroidal coordinates $(\xi, \eta, \phi) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi)$	$x = a \sinh \xi \sin \eta \cos \phi$ $y = a \sinh \xi \sin \eta \sin \phi$ $z = a \cosh \xi \cos \eta$	$h_1 = h_2 = a \sqrt{\sinh^2 \xi + \sin^2 \eta}$ $h_3 = a \sinh \xi \sin \eta$
Oblate spheroidal coordinates $(\xi, \eta, \phi) \in [0, \infty) \times \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \times [0, 2\pi)$	$x = a \cosh \xi \cos \eta \cos \phi$ $y = a \cosh \xi \cos \eta \sin \phi$ $z = a \sinh \xi \sin \eta$	$h_1 = h_2 = a \sqrt{\sinh^2 \xi + \sin^2 \eta}$ $h_3 = a \cosh \xi \cos \eta$
Ellipsoidal coordinates (λ, μ, ν) $\lambda < c^2 < b^2 < a^2,$ $c^2 < \mu < b^2 < a^2,$ $c^2 < b^2 < \nu < a^2,$	$\frac{x^2}{a^2 - q_i} + \frac{y^2}{b^2 - q_i} + \frac{z^2}{c^2 - q_i} = 1$ where $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (\lambda, \mu, \nu)$	$h_i = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(q_j - q_i)(q_k - q_i)}{(a^2 - q_i)(b^2 - q_i)(c^2 - q_i)}}$
Bipolar coordinates $(u, v, z) \in [0, 2\pi) \times (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty)$	$x = \frac{a \sinh v}{\cosh v - \cos u}$ $y = \frac{a \sin u}{\cosh v - \cos u}$ $z = z$	$h_1 = h_2 = \frac{a}{\cosh v - \cos u}$ $h_3 = 1$
Toroidal coordinates $(u, v, \phi) \in (-\pi, \pi] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$	$x = \frac{a \sinh v \cos \phi}{\cosh v - \cos u}$ $y = \frac{a \sinh v \sin \phi}{\cosh v - \cos u}$ $z = \frac{a \sin u}{\cosh v - \cos u}$	$h_1 = h_2 = \frac{a}{\cosh v - \cos u}$ $h_3 = \frac{a \sinh v}{\cosh v - \cos u}$
Conical coordinates (λ, μ, ν) $\nu^2 < b^2 < \mu^2 < a^2$ $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$	$x = \frac{\lambda \mu \nu}{ab}$ $y = \frac{\lambda}{a} \sqrt{\frac{(\mu^2 - a^2)(\nu^2 - a^2)}{a^2 - b^2}}$ $z = \frac{\lambda}{b} \sqrt{\frac{(\mu^2 - b^2)(\nu^2 - b^2)}{a^2 - b^2}}$	$h_1 = 1$ $h_2^2 = \frac{\lambda^2(\mu^2 - \nu^2)}{(\mu^2 - a^2)(b^2 - \mu^2)}$ $h_3^2 = \frac{\lambda^2(\mu^2 - \nu^2)}{(\nu^2 - a^2)(\nu^2 - b^2)}$

See also

- Curvilinear coordinates
- Tensor
- Vector field

- Skew coordinates

Notes

- ¹ [^] Eric W. Weisstein. "Orthogonal Coordinate System" (<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/OrthogonalCoordinateSystem.html>). MathWorld. Retrieved 10 July 2008.
- ² [^] Morse and Feshbach 1953, Volume 1, pp. 494-523, 655-666.
- ³ [^] Mathematical Handbook of Formulas and Tables (3rd edition), S. Lipschutz, M.R. Spiegel, J. Liu, Schaum's Outline Series, 2009, ISBN 978-0-07-154855-7.
- ⁴ [^] Vector Analysis (2nd Edition), M.R. Spiegel, S. Lipschutz, D. Spellman, Schaum's Outlines, McGraw Hill (USA), 2009, ISBN 978-0-07-161545-7
- ⁵ [^] Vector Analysis (2nd Edition), M.R. Spiegel, S. Lipschutz, D. Spellman, Schaum's Outlines, McGraw Hill (USA), 2009, ISBN 978-0-07-161545-7

References

- Korn GA and Korn TM. (1961) *Mathematical Handbook for Scientists and Engineers*, McGraw-Hill, pp. 164–182.
- Morse and Feshbach (1953). "Methods of Theoretical Physics, Volume 1". McGraw-Hill.
- Margenau H. and Murphy GM. (1956) *The Mathematics of Physics and Chemistry*, 2nd. ed., Van Nostrand, pp. 172–192.
- Leonid P. Lebedev and Michael J. Cloud (2003) *Tensor Analysis*, pp. 81 – 88.

Retrieved from "http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Orthogonal_coordinates&oldid=637102090"

Categories: Coordinate systems

-
- This page was last modified on 8 December 2014, at 01:37.
 - Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.